

RESEARCH

Open Access



Oral health practices and self-reported adverse effects of E-cigarette use among dental students in 11 countries: an online survey

Mohammed Nasser Alhajj^{1*}, Sadeq Ali Al-Maweri², Morenike O. Folayan³, Esam Halboub^{4,5}, Yousef Khader⁶, Ridwaan Omar⁷, Abdullah G. Amran⁸, Ola B. Al-Batayneh⁹, Asja Celebić¹⁰, Sanja Persic¹⁰, Humeyra Kocaelli¹¹, Firas Suleyman¹², Abdulaziz A. Alkheraif¹³, Darshan D. Divakar¹³, Abdulbaset A. Mufadhal¹⁴, Mohammed A. Al-Wesabi¹⁵, Wadhah A. Alhajj^{16,17}, Mokhtar A. Aldumaini¹⁸, Saadika Khan¹⁹, Thiyezen A. Al-Dhelai^{20,21}, Ahmed Shaher Alqahtani²², Ali H. Murad²³, Joseph E. Makzoum²⁴, Shivani Kohli²⁵ and Tareq A. Ziyad²⁶

Abstract

Objectives: E-cigarette use has become popular, particularly among the youth. Its use is associated with harmful general and oral health consequences. This survey aimed to assess self-reported oral hygiene practices, oral and general health events, and changes in physiological functions (including physical status, smell, taste, breathing, appetite, etc.) due to E-cigarette use among dental students.

Methods: This online, multicounty survey involved undergraduate dental students from 20 dental schools across 11 different countries. The questionnaire included demographic characteristics, E-cigarette practices, self-reported complaints, and associated physiological changes due to E-cigarette smoking. Data were descriptively presented as frequencies and percentages. A Chi-square test was used to assess the potential associations between the study group and sub-groups with the different factors. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS at $P < 0.05$.

Results: Most respondents reported regular brushing of their teeth, whereas only 70% used additional oral hygiene aids. Reported frequencies of complaints ranged from as low as 3.3% for tongue inflammation to as high as 53.3% for headache, with significant differences between E-cigarette users and non-users. Compared to non-smokers, E-cigarette users reported significantly higher prevalence of dry mouth (33.1% vs. 23.4%; $P < 0.001$), black tongue (5.9% vs. 2.8%; $P = 0.002$), and heart palpitation (26.3% vs. 22.8%; $P = 0.001$). Although two-thirds of the sample reported no change in their physiological functions, E-cigarette users reported significant improvement in their physiological functions compared to never smokers or tobacco users.

Conclusion: Dental students showed good oral hygiene practices, but E-cigarette users showed a higher prevalence of health complications.

Keywords: E-cigarette, Smoking, Dental students, Oral health, General health, Survey

Introduction

Electronic cigarettes (E-cigarette) or electronic nicotine delivery systems are a relatively new phenomenon amongst tobacco smokers largely because of claims that they may help with smoking cessation [1, 2]. E-cigarette

*Correspondence: m.n.alhajj@hotmail.com

¹ Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Tamar University, Dhamar, Yemen

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

use is increasingly popular among the youth and young adults [3]. Indeed, it has been the most popular form of smoking among the youth since 2014 [4, 5]. Typically, E-cigarette users inhale an aerosol containing nicotine, flavorings, and other additives [1]. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA), however, has reported that E-cigarette cartridges and solutions contain contaminants that are potentially harmful to humans: at a minimum, these contaminants cause irritation and inflammation of the airway epithelium [6, 7] and suppressed the immune response of the nasal mucosa [8]. In fact, the proinflammatory signals, and immune-suppressive changes in the respiratory mucosa of E-cigarette users have been reported to be different from those of non-smokers [8, 9]. Recently, a United States court has recognized the E-cigarette as a smoking tobacco product that should be prohibited or regulated as a dangerous nicotine delivery system that needs to comply with the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act [10].

As with traditional cigarettes, E-cigarettes have oral health consequences [9, 11, 12]. Basically, the oral cavity, being the first part of the body exposed to the constituents of E-cigarettes or any other forms of tobacco, is at increased risk of exposure to the carcinogenic, immunologic, microbial, and clinical effects of these products. The viscosity of e-liquid promotes the colonization of *Streptococcus mutans*, a major causative factor of dental caries [9]. It has been found that the major ingredients of the e-liquid (nicotine, acetaldehyde, acrolein, formaldehyde and flavoring chemicals like cinnamaldehyde [13, 14]) modify the oral microbiome toward higher abundance of oral pathobionts, alter host response, and enhance periodontal inflammation [11]. Nicotine, a well-known vasoconstrictor, decreases gingival blood flow, and depresses cytokine production (IL-1 β , IL-2, TNF- α , and IFN- γ), neutrophil number, and immune cell function, leading ultimately to periodontal disease and tooth loss [15–17]. The propylene glycol content of the E-cigarette breaks down into acetic and lactic acids, and other harmful compounds to the enamel and oral soft tissue [18]. In addition, E-cigarette use can lead to hyposalivation resulting in tissue drying that promotes caries, periodontal disease, and other oral health problems [9, 11, 12, 19]. The vegetable glycerin with other flavoring agents can increase microbial adhesion to enamel and promote biofilm formation, which results in a decreased enamel hardness [18]. Cinnamaldehyde can suppress the phagocytic function of neutrophils and macrophages; and inhibit the natural killer cells' cell-killing ability of tumor cells, and ciliary beating of airway epithelial cells [20, 21].

Good oral health behaviors may lessen the negative impact of E-cigarette use on oral health; and, of course, quitting smoking is the most effective way to ensure

improved oral health [22–24]. Toothbrushing twice a day with fluoride-containing toothpaste, along with adjunct mouth rinses, should help reduce the risk of caries and periodontal disease [25–27]. Additionally, reducing the daily frequency of refined carbohydrate intake can reduce the risk of caries [28], and regular dental visits will facilitate prompt detection of oral lesions and the institution of caries reversal protocols.

Dental health professionals report their own oral health status more reliably, and are clearly more aware of the positive impact of good oral health behaviors on oral health than the general public [26]. There has always been a strong drive for active engagement of dental practitioners in smoking cessation programs [29–31]. Therefore, dental professionals would be a good study cohort to assess the relationship between oral health practices and cigarette smoking. Unfortunately, only a few studies have assessed dental students' attitudes and knowledge about the negative effects of E-cigarette use [32, 33]. Hence, there is a paucity of information related to reported health effects, and negative impact of E-cigarette on oral health, particularly among health sciences students. In this study, we aimed to explore the self-reported practices of oral healthcare and hygiene measures, and the possible adverse effects of E-cigarette among dental students in different countries.

Materials and methods

This study is a part of a large multinational survey conducted on E-cigarette use amongst dental students. An online cross-sectional survey was conducted among undergraduate dental students from 20 dental schools in 11 countries. Postgraduate students, academic staff, assistants, or technicians were excluded. The study was approved primarily by the Research Ethics Committee at the Faculty of Dentistry, Tamar University (Ref #2019003). In parallel with that, ethical clearance was obtained from all respective participating universities. The study fully complied with the Helsinki declaration. The electronic questionnaire was distributed to the target students via different methods including social media such as WhatsApp and Facebook groups. A hard copy of the questionnaire was also available for coauthors who could meet the dental students face-to-face. The survey had an introductory statement about the study team, study objectives, and confidentiality assurance. Each participant was able to answer the survey once and to edit their answers freely until they chose to submit. By clicking submit it was considered that the student consented to participate in the study.

The questionnaire comprised close-ended questions adopted from some previous studies [34–37] and covered: (1) sociodemographic data (age, sex, current

educational level); (2) tobacco and E-cigarette use (current habits, frequency, etc.); (3) self-perceived oral health, including number of decayed, filled, and missing teeth (DMFT); (4) oral hygiene practices, including frequency of brushing, additional aids, type of toothpaste, dental visits, etc.; (5) self-perceived symptoms due to smoking; and (6) self-perceived changes in physiological functions (including physical status, smell, taste, breathing, appetite, etc.).

Statistical analysis

Responses were exported to Excel sheets (MS Excel 2016) where they were checked, coded, and then transferred to a statistical software program (SPSS V25, IBM Corp. USA). Descriptive statistics in terms of frequencies and percentages were obtained for the study variables according to the smoking status (non-smokers, tobacco smokers, E-cigarette users, and dual users). The potential associations between the outcome and explanatory variables were assessed by Chi-square test, with a *P*-value less than 0.5 considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 5697 dental students from 11 different countries (Croatia, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Malaysia, Nigeria, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, Turkey, and Yemen) took part in the study. Numbers varied greatly across countries, ranging from 110 in Kuwait to 1626 in Yemen. Of the total participants, 51.1% (*n*=2909) were in the clinical years of study. The majority were females (60.5%, *n*=3433) and unmarried (94.1%, *n*=5361) (Table 1).

As presented in Table 2, 1112 (19.6%) students were current smokers in the following proportions: 596 (10.5%) were tobacco smokers, 255 (4.5%) were E-cigarette users,

and 261 (4.6%) were tobacco/E-cigarette dual users. The distribution of respondents by their current smoking status differed significantly by sex and country of origin. With regard to sex, there were significantly more males than females who smoke tobacco, E-cigarettes, or both. With regard to country, Nigeria had the highest proportion of respondents who had never smoked; Saudi Arabia had the least proportion of respondents who had never smoked; no respondent smoked tobacco in Kuwait and no respondent was a dual smoker in Nigeria. No statistically significant associations were observed between smoking status and marital status, age, and education level.

The reported DMFT and oral hygiene practices are presented in Table 3. Slightly more than one-third of the sample (35.8%, *n*=2029) reported having DMFT \geq 3, 30.9% (*n*=1748) reported to having DMFT < 3, and 33% (*n*=1889) reported having DMFT = 0, with no statistically significant differences according to the students' smoking status. A majority of students reported brushing their teeth 2 times or more daily (63.8%) and using fluoride-containing toothpaste (65.6%), with significant differences according to their smoking status (*P*=0.003 each). Almost 80% of the participants reported that they eat sweets or drink sugary soft drinks on a daily (36.7%) or weekly basis (45.3%), and up to 72% of the participants reported that they use other oral-care devices, but with no significant differences according to the smoking status (*P*=0.104 and *P*=0.217, respectively). Most participants (*n*=3069, 54.4%) reported regularly visiting the dentist (at least once a year), with a significant difference between E-cigarette users and other groups: 66.1% of E-cigarette smokers compared to 54.3% of non-smokers,

Table 1 Characteristics of participants by country (*N* = 5697); % in brackets

	Total	Gender		Age groups		Educational level		Marital status	
		Male	Female	≤ 20 years	> 20 years	Pre-clinical	Clinical	Married	Unmarried
All	5697 (100.0)	2264 (39.7)	3433 (60.3)	1844 (32.4)	3853 (67.6)	2788 (48.9)	2909 (51.1)	336 (5.9)	5361 (94.1)
Croatia	233 (4.1)	43 (18.5)	190 (81.5)	64 (27.5)	169 (72.5)	109 (46.8)	124 (53.2)	5 (2.1)	228 (97.9)
Iraq	369 (6.5)	129 (35.0)	240 (65.0)	146 (39.6)	223 (60.4)	227 (61.5)	142 (38.5)	11 (3.0)	358 (97.0)
Jordan	461 (8.1)	123 (26.7)	338 (73.3)	205 (44.5)	256 (55.5)	303 (65.7)	158 (34.3)	6 (1.3)	455 (98.7)
Kuwait	110 (1.9)	12 (10.9)	98 (89.1)	25 (22.7)	85 (77.3)	39 (35.5)	71 (64.5)	4 (3.6)	106 (96.4)
Lebanon	257 (4.5)	82 (31.9)	175 (68.1)	137 (53.3)	120 (46.7)	118 (45.9)	139 (54.1)	1 (0.4)	256 (99.6)
Malaysia	148 (2.6)	35 (23.6)	113 (76.4)	38 (25.7)	110 (74.3)	32 (21.6)	116 (78.4)	0 (0.0)	148 (100.0)
Nigeria	240 (4.2)	138 (57.5)	102 (42.5)	49 (20.4)	191 (79.6)	64 (26.7)	176 (73.3)	10 (4.2)	230 (95.8)
Saudi Arabia	596 (10.5)	292 (49.0)	304 (51.0)	91 (15.3)	505 (84.7)	178 (29.9)	418 (70.1)	55 (9.2)	541 (90.8)
South Africa	204 (3.6)	60 (29.4)	144 (70.6)	97 (47.5)	107 (52.5)	81 (39.7)	123 (60.3)	7 (3.4)	197 (96.6)
Turkey	1453 (25.5)	695 (47.8)	758 (52.2)	640 (44.0)	813 (56.0)	710 (48.9)	743 (51.1)	33 (2.3)	1420 (97.7)
Yemen	1626 (28.5)	655 (40.3)	971 (59.7)	352 (21.6)	1274 (78.4)	927 (57.0)	699 (43.0)	204 (12.5)	1422 (87.5)

Table 2 Patterns of E-cigarette use or tobacco cigarette smoking by different grouping factors (N = 4564); % in brackets

	Total	Smoking status				P
		Never smoke	Tobacco only	E-cig. only	Dual user	
All	5676 (100.0)	4564 (80.4)	596 (10.5)	255 (4.5)	261 (4.6)	
Country						< 0.001
Croatia	233 (4.1)	175 (75.1)	49 (21.0)	5 (2.1)	4 (1.7)	
Iraq	369 (6.5)	300 (81.3)	31 (8.4)	11 (3.0)	27 (7.3)	
Jordan	461 (8.1)	343 (74.4)	45 (9.8)	44 (9.5)	29 (6.3)	
Kuwait	110 (1.9)	103 (93.6)	0 (0.0)	7 (6.4)	0 (0.0)	
Lebanon	257 (4.5)	220 (85.6)	27 (10.5)	4 (1.6)	6 (2.3)	
Malaysia	148 (2.6)	139 (93.9)	1 (0.7)	3 (2.0)	5 (3.4)	
Nigeria	240 (4.2)	228 (95.0)	7 (2.9)	5 (2.1)	0 (0.0)	
Saudi Arabia	592 (10.4)	430 (72.6)	55 (9.3)	69 (11.7)	38 (6.4)	
South Africa	204 (3.6)	177 (86.8)	16 (7.8)	7 (3.4)	4 (2.0)	
Turkey	1453 (25.6)	1090 (75.0)	208 (14.3)	59 (4.1)	96 (6.6)	
Yemen	1609 (28.3)	1359 (84.5)	157 (9.8)	41 (2.5)	52 (3.2)	
Gender						< 0.001
Male	2258 (39.8)	1594 (70.6)	344 (15.2)	176 (7.8)	144 (6.4)	
Female	3418 (60.2)	2970 (86.9)	252 (7.4)	79 (2.3)	117 (3.4)	
Age group						0.227
≤ 20 years	1838 (32.4)	1502 (81.7)	178 (9.7)	72 (3.9)	86 (4.7)	
> 20 years	3838 (67.6)	3062 (79.8)	418 (10.9)	183 (4.8)	175 (4.6)	
Marital status						0.090
Married	336 (5.9)	259 (77.1)	40 (11.9)	13 (3.9)	24 (7.1)	
Unmarried	5340 (94.1)	4305 (80.6)	556 (10.4)	242 (4.5)	237 (4.4)	
Educational level					0.076	
Pre-clinical	2776 (48.9)	2242 (80.8)	295 (10.6)	105 (3.8)	134 (4.8)	
Clinical	2900 (51.1)	2322 (80.1)	301 (10.4)	150 (5.2)	127 (4.4)	

49.3% of tobacco smokers (49.3%), and 55.9% of dual smokers.

Table 4 shows the subjective complaints reported by respondents. The frequencies of perceived health problems associated with smoking ranged from as few as 3.3% who reported tongue inflammation to as many as 53.3% who reported headache. Compared to non-smokers, tobacco users, E-cigarette users, and dual users reported significantly higher prevalence of dry mouth (29.3%, 33.1% and 28.1%, respectively, vs. 23.4%; $P < 0.001$), black tongue (3.9%, 5.6% and 6.1%, respectively, vs 2.8%; $P = 0.002$), and heart palpitation (29.6%, 26.3% and 28.4%, respectively, vs. 22.8%; $P = 0.001$).

Table 5 highlights the self-reported changes in physiological functions within the past month as reported by respondents. Overall, a majority reported “no change” in their physiological functions (61.3–90.0%). Specifically, most E-cigarette users (range 66.3–81%) reported no change in their physiological functions. Surprisingly, significantly higher percentages of E-cigarette users reported “improvement” in most of their physiological

functions compared to non-smokers, tobacco users and dual users ($P < 0.05$).

Discussion

E-cigarette use is associated with numerous oral health consequences, including, but not limited to, xerostomia, oral candidiasis, oral mucosal lesions, halitosis, dental caries, and periodontal disease [9, 12, 19, 38]. Although the negative impact of tobacco use on oral health can, to a degree, be mitigated by good oral health behaviors, quitting smoking, and not resorting to E-cigarette use, is the most effective way to ensure good and sustained oral health [22–24]. In this context, dental students and professionals are considered role models for the community and should be at the forefront of fighting dental diseases and the associated deleterious habits. The present survey aimed to assess self-reported oral hygiene practices and the perceived effects of E-cigarettes among dental students across 11 different countries. Collectively, the results revealed good oral hygiene practices including tooth brushing and using additional oral hygiene means,

Table 3 DMFT and oral hygiene practices among dental students based on the current status of smoking

	Total	Smoking status				P
		Never smoke	Tobacco only	E-cigarette only	Dual user	
Number of decayed, filled, and missing teeth do you have?						0.517
None	1889 (33.3)	1525 (33.5)	198 (33.3)	77 (30.2)	89 (34.1)	
< 3 teeth	1748 (30.9)	1403 (30.8)	171 (28.7)	92 (36.1)	82 (31.4)	
≥ 3 teeth	2029 (35.8)	1627 (35.7)	226 (38.0)	86 (33.7)	90 (34.5)	
How many times do you brush your teeth per day?						0.003
None	255 (4.5)	185 (4.1)	44 (7.4)	16 (6.3)	10 (3.8)	
Once a day	1799 (31.7)	1449 (31.8)	195 (32.8)	83 (32.5)	72 (27.6)	
≥ 2 times a day	3614 (63.8)	2924 (64.2)	355 (59.8)	156 (61.2)	179 (68.6)	
Do you use fluoride containing toothpaste?						0.003
Yes	3709 (65.6)	3013 (66.3)	356 (59.9)	181 (71.3)	159 (61.2)	
No	608 (10.8)	476 (10.5)	85 (14.3)	21 (8.3)	26 (10.0)	
I don't know	1334 (23.6)	1054 (23.2)	153 (25.8)	52 (20.5)	75 (28.8)	
How often do you eat sweets or drink sugary soft drinks?						0.104
On a daily basis	2081 (36.7)	1698 (37.3)	217 (36.5)	92 (36.1)	74 (28.4)	
On a weekly basis	2569 (45.3)	2041 (44.8)	279 (46.9)	120 (47.1)	129 (49.4)	
Rarely	1016 (17.9)	816 (17.9)	99 (16.6)	43 (16.9)	58 (22.2)	
Do you use other oral-care devices besides toothbrush and toothpaste?						0.217
No	1594 (28.2)	1291 (28.4)	176 (29.7)	59 (23.2)	68 (26.1)	
Yes	4056 (71.8)	3251 (71.6)	417 (70.3)	195 (76.8)	193 (73.9)	
How often do you visit your dentist?						0.002
> 2 times a year	737 (13.1)	585 (12.9)	71 (12.0)	39 (15.4)	42 (16.1)	
1–2 times a year	2332 (41.3)	1880 (41.4)	221 (37.3)	127 (50.0)	104 (39.8)	
Rarely	2577 (45.6)	2073 (45.7)	301 (50.8)	88 (34.6)	115 (44.1)	

Table 4 Perceived related effects/events among dental students based on the current status of smoking

	Total	Smoking status				P
		Never smoke	Tobacco only	E-cigarette only	Dual user	
Have you experienced the following problems in the last month?						
Sore mouth and/or throat	1600 (28.3)	1314 (28.9)	162 (27.4)	54 (21.4)	70 (26.8)	0.062
Dry mouth and/or throat	1367 (24.7)	1042 (23.4)	172 (29.3)	81 (33.3)	72 (28.1)	< 0.001
Mouth and/or tongue inflammation	517 (9.2)	425 (9.4)	52 (8.8)	25 (9.9)	15 (5.7)	0.245
Black tongue	182 (3.2)	129 (2.8)	23 (3.9)	14 (5.6)	16 (6.1)	0.002
Gingivitis	1077 (19.1)	883 (19.4)	111 (18.7)	42 (16.7)	41 (15.8)	0.354
Nose bleeding	485 (8.6)	390 (8.6)	46 (7.7)	21 (8.4)	28 (10.7)	0.557
Headache	3015 (53.3)	2476 (54.5)	311 (52.4)	112 (44.3)	116 (44.6)	< 0.001
Cough	1635 (28.9)	1293 (28.5)	198 (33.4)	62 (24.6)	82 (31.4)	0.026
Chest pain	1000 (17.7)	756 (16.7)	141 (23.7)	44 (17.5)	59 (22.7)	< 0.001
Dizziness	1715 (30.7)	1390 (30.9)	179 (30.4)	68 (27.4)	78 (30.1)	0.698
Heart palpitation	1351 (23.9)	1035 (22.8)	176 (29.6)	66 (26.3)	74 (28.4)	0.001
Allergy	997 (17.7)	823 (18.1)	93 (15.7)	35 (13.9)	46 (17.6)	0.195

Yes response is reported

Table 5 Perceived physiological functions among dental students based on the current status of smoking

	Total	Smoking status				P
		Never smoke	Tobacco only	E-cigarette only	Dual user	
<i>Have you experienced changes in the following physiological functions in the past month?</i>						
Physical status						< 0.001
Worsened	564 (10.3)	436 (10.0)	89 (15.1)	13 (5.2)	26 (10.2)	
No change	4362 (79.8)	3520 (80.6)	454 (76.8)	193 (76.6)	195 (76.2)	
Improved	542 (9.9)	413 (9.5)	48 (8.1)	46 (18.3)	35 (13.7)	
Smell						< 0.001
Worsened	259 (4.7)	175 (4.0)	64 (10.8)	9 (3.6)	11 (4.3)	
No change	4873 (89.1)	3958 (90.6)	497 (84.2)	202 (80.2)	216 (84.4)	
Improved	335 (6.1)	236 (5.4)	29 (4.9)	41 (16.3)	29 (11.3)	
Taste						< 0.001
Worsened	224 (4.1)	148 (3.4)	53 (9.0)	11 (4.4)	12 (4.7)	
No change	4918 (90.0)	3998 (91.5)	499 (84.4)	204 (81.0)	217 (84.8)	
Improved	325 (5.9)	222 (5.1)	39 (6.6)	37 (14.7)	27 (10.5)	
Breathing						< 0.001
Worsened	546 (10.0)	376 (8.6)	106 (17.9)	25 (10.0)	39 (15.2)	
No change	4564 (83.5)	3742 (85.7)	442 (74.8)	185 (74.0)	195 (76.2)	
Improved	354 (6.5)	249 (5.7)	43 (7.3)	40 (16.0)	22 (8.6)	
Appetite						0.030
Worsened	869 (16.0)	688 (15.8)	115 (19.5)	30 (12.0)	36 (14.1)	
No change	3879 (71.2)	3114 (71.6)	407 (69.0)	175 (70.3)	183 (71.8)	
Improved	697 (12.8)	549 (12.6)	68 (11.5)	44 (17.7)	36 (14.1)	
Mood						< 0.001
Worsened	1437 (26.3)	1179 (27.0)	172 (29.1)	30 (11.9)	56 (21.9)	
No change	3355 (61.3)	2682 (61.4)	349 (59.0)	167 (66.3)	157 (61.3)	
Improved	678 (12.4)	509 (11.6)	71 (12.0)	55 (21.8)	43 (16.8)	
Memory						< 0.001
Worsened	907 (16.6)	743 (17.0)	110 (18.6)	18 (7.2)	36 (14.1)	
No change	4087 (74.8)	3263 (74.8)	430 (72.8)	198 (78.9)	196 (76.6)	
Improved	469 (8.6)	359 (8.2)	51 (8.6)	35 (13.9)	24 (9.4)	
Quality of sleep						0.003
Worsened	1257 (23.0)	1015 (23.2)	157 (26.6)	35 (13.9)	50 (19.5)	
No change	3441 (62.9)	2750 (62.9)	355 (60.1)	175 (69.4)	161 (62.9)	
Improved	774 (14.1)	608 (13.9)	79 (13.4)	42 (16.7)	45 (17.6)	
Stamina						< 0.001
Worsened	1035 (18.9)	803 (18.4)	155 (26.4)	29 (11.5)	48 (18.8)	
No change	3761 (68.8)	3025 (69.3)	373 (63.4)	189 (75.0)	174 (68.0)	
Improved	667 (12.2)	539 (12.3)	60 (10.2)	34 (13.5)	34 (13.3)	

but it also revealed that more E-cigarette users reported dry mouth and heart palpitation compared to non-smokers. Unexpectedly, however, E-cigarette users reported some improvements in some of their physiological functions compared to tobacco smokers or non-smokers.

Owing to a lack of studies on the oral health effects amongst dental students who are E-cigarette users, we weighed the results of our study against what has been reported in the general population. Many recent

population-based studies in USA found an association between E-cigarette use and/or dual use, and untreated dental caries [39], self-reported bad oral health [40], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [41] and other respiratory [42] and health symptoms [43]. Although a recent systematic review stated that E-cigarette use was less harmful than smoking conventional cigarettes, it pointed to a greater susceptibility of E-cigarette users to the development of alterations in oral soft tissues than

ex-smokers and non-smokers, and concluded that neither the safety nor efficacy of E-cigarette use in the long-term, either as a smoking cessation aid or as an alternative to tobacco smoking that is less harmful to health, has been established [44].

The present findings concur with previous studies among dental students elsewhere [26, 45]. However, dental students still seem to need some motivation in the use additional oral hygiene devices such as flossing, mouth rinses, and/or interdental brush use and even fluoride-containing paste. Dental students, the future dental professional, through good oral hygiene attitude and practices, can act as role models and play a positive role in improving the oral health status of their patients and the community at large [26, 46].

Another important finding of the present study was the significantly higher proportion of E-cigarette users who had dry mouth and black tongue when compared to non-smokers. This finding is not surprising, as E-cigarette use has been reported to cause numerous oral mucosal conditions such as xerostomia and hairy tongue [9, 12, 19]. These findings agree with those of previous studies that reported a significant association between E-cigarette and oral mucosal diseases [11, 22, 38]. The harmful consequences of nicotine on oral tissues have been well established [11, 38]. Thus it is important to include information about the harmful effects of E-cigarettes in the oral health/dental education curriculum, and to train personnel on how to support quitting the habit [32]. Additionally, the dental curriculum and that of other health disciplines should include information on the oral/general health impact of E-cigarettes [32, 47].

More E-cigarette users reported better physiological functions, especially breathing, mood, taste, physical status, and memory, compared to non-smokers and tobacco users. Although these findings are consistent with many previous studies that reported improvement of general health outcomes, they must be interpreted with caution since such improvement were in context of switching from traditional smoking to E-cigarette use [2, 19, 34, 48]. In other words, after long-term exposure to the very deleterious effects of conventional smoking, the switchers perceive the less deleterious effects of E-cigarettes as an improvement.

The main strength of the present study is the large sample size and involvement of students from various countries with different economic and cultural backgrounds. Nevertheless, the study has some limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results. The main limitation is the self-reported nature of responses, which might have introduced bias. But this might not be of such great concern given that the respondents are dental students: that is to say they can easily determine whether

there are alterations in their mouths, and whether these alterations, if present, may be E-cigarette-induced. This is also applied to the self-reported DMFT. Another limitation is the non-response bias, and thus the results cannot be extrapolated to non-participants. Also, despite the multicultural, multi-county sample, the percentage of E-cigarette users in the total sample was relatively small, and subsequently we were not able to run multivariate analysis so as to identify predictors of the outcomes. It follows that the results of the study should be interpreted with caution. Finally, we did not investigate the time of cigarette smoking before switching to E-cigarette use among E-cigarette users with previous experience of cigarette smoking. We focused only on the current status of smoking whether cigarette smoking, E-cigarette use, dual smoking or never.

Overall, the perceived improvement in health outcomes and the wrong perception about the safety of E-cigarettes may explain the growing popularity of E-cigarettes [5]. Unfortunately, E-cigarette users do not view E-cigarette use (vaping) as a harmful habit, and studies have shown that vaping is considered favorably by users concerning overall health perception [49–51]. This emphasizes the urgent need for educating dental students about the long-term health effects of E-cigarettes and implementing tobacco cessation programs.

Conclusion

Irrespective of the noted good oral hygiene practices among dental students, those who were E-cigarette users reported more oral health-related conditions, particularly xerostomia and black tongue, and heart palpitation, even though they unexpectedly reported significant improvement in their physiological functions compared to non-smokers.

Abbreviations

E-cigarette: Electronic cigarettes; FDA: Food and drug administration; IL: Interleukin; TNF: Tumor necrosis factor; IFN: Interferon; DMFT: Decayed, missing, filled teeth; SPSS: Statistical package for the social sciences; US: United States.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Deanship of Scientific Research, King Saud University for funding through the Vice Deanship of Scientific Research for Research Chairs.

Authors' contributions

MNA, SAA, MOF, EH, YK, RO, AGA, OBA, and AC participated in study conception and design. ALL authors of this paper received the pre-final study design and any comments/suggestions from them were addressed. ALL authors of this paper approved the final study design. MNA, SAA, MOF, EH, YK, RO, AGA, OBA, AC, SP, HK, FS, AAA, DDD, AAM, MAA, WAA, MAA, SK, TAA, ASA, AHM, JEM, and S K participated in acquisition of data. MNA, SAA, MOF, EH, YK, RO and TAZ participated in analysis and interpretation of data. ALL authors of this paper received the primary analysis and interpretation of data and any comments/suggestions from them were addressed. MNA, SAA, MOF, EH, YK, RO and TAZ participated in drafting of manuscript. ALL authors of this paper received

the drafted manuscript and any comments/suggestions from them were addressed. ALL authors have read and approved the final version submitted.

Funding

Dental Biomaterials Research Chair, Deanship of Scientific Research, King Saud University. The funder has no role in the design of the study as well as in the methodology, analysis, and interpretation of the data.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets supporting the findings of this article are available from the corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved primarily by the Research Ethics Committee at the Faculty of Dentistry, Thamar University, Dhamar, Yemen (Ref#: 2019003). The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki Ethical Principles. All participants were informed about the study objectives. Participation was voluntary and the participant can withdraw from the study at any time without penalty. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. By clicking on 'submit' the student consented to participate in the study. Names, emails, or any other personal identifiers were not included in the data collected.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Thamar University, Dhamar, Yemen. ²College of Dental Medicine, QU Health, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar. ³Department of Child Dental Health, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. ⁴Department of Maxillofacial Surgery and Diagnostic Sciences, College of Dentistry, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia. ⁵Department of Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Sana'a University, Sana'a, Yemen. ⁶Department of Public Health, Community Medicine and Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan. ⁷Department of Restorative Sciences, Division of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Kuwait University, Safat, Kuwait. ⁸Department of Periodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Thamar University, Dhamar, Yemen. ⁹Department of Preventive Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan. ¹⁰Department of Removable Prosthodontics, School of Dental Medicine and Clinical Hospital Centre, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia. ¹¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey. ¹²Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Health Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey. ¹³Dental Biomaterials Research Chair, Dental Health Department, College of Applied Medical Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. ¹⁴Department of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Sana'a University, Sana'a, Yemen. ¹⁵Department of Preventive and Biomedical Science, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Science and Technology, Taiz, Yemen. ¹⁶Department of Periodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Thamar University, Dhamar, Yemen. ¹⁷Department of Dentistry, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Civilization University, Sana'a, Yemen. ¹⁸Department of Fixed and Removable Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Ibb, Ibb, Yemen. ¹⁹Department of Restorative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town, South Africa. ²⁰Department of Orthodontic and Pediatric Dentistry, College of Dentistry, Qassim University, Buraydah, Saudi Arabia. ²¹Department of Orthodontics and Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Ibb, Ibb, Yemen. ²²Department of Maxillofacial Surgery and Diagnostic Sciences, College of Dentistry, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia. ²³Department of Oral Diagnosis, College of Dentistry, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Al-Diwaniya, Iraq. ²⁴Department of Removable Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Saint-Joseph University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon. ²⁵Division of Clinical Dentistry, School of Dentistry, International Medical University (IMU), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. ²⁶Private Dental Clinic, Sana'a, Yemen.

Received: 16 October 2021 Accepted: 18 January 2022

Published online: 26 January 2022

References

- Breland A, Soule E, Lopez A, Ramôa C, El-Hellani A, Eissenberg T. Electronic cigarettes: What are they and what do they do? *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 2017;1394(1):5–30.
- Gucht DV, Adriaens K, Baeyens F. Online vape shop customers who use E-cigarettes report abstinence from smoking and improved quality of life, but a substantial minority still have vaping-related health concerns. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017;14(7):798.
- Duderstadt KG. E-cigarettes: youth and trends in vaping. *J Pediatric Health Care Off Publ Natl Assoc Pediatric Nurse Assoc Practi*. 2015;29(6):555–7.
- QuickStats: Cigarette Smoking Status* Among Current Adult E-cigarette Users by Age Group—National Health Interview Survey, United States, 2015. *MMWR Morb Mort Wkly Rep*. 2016, 65(42):1177.
- Carroll Chapman SL, Wu LT. E-cigarette prevalence and correlates of use among adolescents versus adults: a review and comparison. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2014;54:43–54.
- Ghosh A, Coakley RC, Mascenik T, Rowell TR, Davis ES, Rogers K, Webster MJ, Dang H, Herring LE, Sassano MF, et al. Chronic E-cigarette exposure alters the human bronchial epithelial proteome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2018;198(1):67–76.
- Reidel B, Radicioni G, Clapp PW, Ford AA, Abdelwahab S, Rebuli ME, Haridass P, Alexis NE, Jaspers I, Kesimer M. E-cigarette use causes a unique innate immune response in the lung, involving increased neutrophilic activation and altered mucin secretion. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2018;197(4):492–501.
- Martin EM, Clapp PW, Rebuli ME, Pawlak EA, Glista-Baker E, Benowitz NL, Fry RC, Jaspers I. E-cigarette use results in suppression of immune and inflammatory-response genes in nasal epithelial cells similar to cigarette smoke. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2016;311(1):L135–144.
- Tomar SL, Hecht SS, Jaspers I, Gregory RL, Stepanov I. Oral health effects of combusted and smokeless tobacco products. *Adv Dent Res*. 2019;30(1):4–10.
- Palazzolo DL. Electronic cigarettes and vaping: a new challenge in clinical medicine and public health: a literature review. *Front Public Health*. 2013;1:56.
- Javed F, Kellesarian SV, Sundar IK, Romanos GE, Rahman I. Recent updates on electronic cigarette aerosol and inhaled nicotine effects on periodontal and pulmonary tissues. *Oral Dis*. 2017;23(8):1052–7.
- Yang I, Sandeep S, Rodriguez J. The oral health impact of electronic cigarette use: a systematic review. *Crit Rev Toxicol*. 2020;50(2):97–127.
- Salamanca JC, Munhenzva I, Escobedo JO, Jensen RP, Shaw A, Campbell R, Luo W, Peyton DH, Strongin RM. Formaldehyde hemiacetal sampling, recovery, and quantification from electronic cigarette aerosols. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):11044.
- Sleiman M, Logue JM, Montesinos VN, Russell ML, Litter MI, Gundel LA, Destailats H. Emissions from electronic cigarettes: key parameters affecting the release of harmful chemicals. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2016;50(17):9644–51.
- Mavropoulos A, Aars H, Brodin P. Hyperaemic response to cigarette smoking in healthy gingiva. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2003;30(3):214–21.
- Madretsma S, Wolters LM, van Dijk JP, Tak CJ, Feyerabend C, Wilson JH, Zijlstra FJ. In-vivo effect of nicotine on cytokine production by human non-adherent mononuclear cells. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 1996;8(10):1017–20.
- Madretsma GS, Donze GJ, van Dijk AP, Tak CJ, Wilson JH, Zijlstra FJ. Nicotine inhibits the in vitro production of interleukin 2 and tumour necrosis factor-alpha by human mononuclear cells. *Immunopharmacology*. 1996;35(1):47–51.
- Sapru S, Vardhan M, Li Q, Guo Y, Li X, Saxena D. E-cigarettes use in the United States: reasons for use, perceptions, and effects on health. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):1518.
- Karasneh R, Al-Zazzam S, Nusair M, Hawamdeh S. Perceptions, symptoms, and practices of electronic cigarette users: descriptive analysis and validation of Arabic short form vaping consequences questionnaire. *PLoS ONE*. 2021;16(1):e0245443.

20. Clapp PW, Pawlak EA, Lackey JT, Keating JE, Reeber SL, Glish GL, Jaspers I. Flavored e-cigarette liquids and cinnamaldehyde impair respiratory innate immune cell function. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2017;313(2):L278–L292.
21. Clapp PW, Lavrich KS, van Heusden CA, Lazarowski ER, Carson JL, Jaspers I. Cinnamaldehyde in flavored e-cigarette liquids temporarily suppresses bronchial epithelial cell ciliary motility by dysregulation of mitochondrial function. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2019;316(3):L470–L486.
22. Almeida-da-Silva CLC, Matshik Dakafay H, O'Brien K, Montierth D, Xiao N, Ojcius DM. Effects of electronic cigarette aerosol exposure on oral and systemic health. *Biomed J*. 2021;44(3):252–9.
23. Rouabhia M. Impact of electronic cigarettes on oral health: a review. *Journal*. 2020;86:1488–2159.
24. Holliday R, Chaffee BW, Jakubovics NS, Kist R, Preshaw PM. Electronic cigarettes and oral health. *J Dent Res*. 2021;100(9):906–13.
25. Cwik J, Gonzalez LA, Shi X, Spigell CC, Yankell S. Plaque reduction and tensile strength evaluations of three dental floss products. *Am J Dent*. 2021;34(3):123–6.
26. Halboub ES, Al-Maweri SA, Al-Jamaei AA, Al-Wesabi MA, Shamala A, Al-Kamel A, Alsharani A, Eissa N. Self-reported oral health attitudes and behavior of dental and medical students, Yemen. *Global J Health Sci*. 2016;8(10):56676.
27. Elzein R, Abdel-Sater F, Fakhreddine S, Hanna PA, Feghali R, Hamad H, Ayoub F. In vivo evaluation of the virucidal efficacy of chlorhexidine and povidone-iodine mouthwashes against salivary SARS-CoV-2: a randomized-controlled clinical trial. *J Evid Based Dental Pract*. 2021;21(3):101584.
28. Lin PY, Lee YC, Hsu LY, Chang HJ, Chi LY. Association between sugary drinks consumption and dental caries incidence among Taiwanese schoolchildren with mixed dentition. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdoe.12683>.
29. Al-Maweri SA, Al-Soneidar WA, AlMaqtari A, Hunaish A, Al-Sufyani G, Halboub E. Tobacco cessation counseling: attitudes and practices among Yemeni dental professionals. *J Cancer Educ Off J Am Assoc Cancer Educ*. 2018;33(5):1088–93.
30. Mungia R, Case K, Valerio MA, Mendoza M, Taverna M, de la Rosa EM, Nguyen T, Saenz G, Winkler P, Tsevat J. Development of an E-cigarettes education and cessation program: a South Texas oral health network study. *Health Promot Pract*. 2021;22(1):18–20.
31. Alqutaibi AY, Borzangy S, Al-Maweri SA, Aboalrejal A. Early detection of oral cancer and potentially malignant disorders: experiences, practices, and beliefs of prosthodontists practicing in Saudi Arabia. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2020;126(4):569–74.
32. Martín Carreras-Presas C, Naeim M, Hsiou D, Somacarrera Pérez ML, Messadi DV. The need to educate future dental professionals on E-cigarette effects. *Eur J Dental Educ Off J Assoc Dental Educ Europe*. 2018;22(4):e751–8.
33. Natto ZS. Dental students' knowledge and attitudes about electronic cigarettes: a cross-sectional study at one Saudi University. *J Dent Educ*. 2020;84(1):27–33.
34. Abafalvi L, Pénczes M, Urbán R, Foley KL, Kaán R, Kispélyi B, Hermann P. Perceived health effects of vaping among Hungarian adult e-cigarette-only and dual users: a cross-sectional internet survey. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):302.
35. Hafiz A, Rahman MM, Jantan Z. Factors associated with knowledge, attitude and practice of e-cigarette among adult population in KOSPEN areas of Kuching district, Sarawak, Malaysia. *Int J Commun Med Public Health*. 2019;6(6):2300.
36. Kanyadan V, Ganti L. E-cigarette awareness among young adults: a pilot survey study. *Cureus*. 2019;11(7):e5234.
37. Hinderaker K, Power DV, Allen S, Parker E, Okuyemi K. What do medical students know about e-cigarettes? A cross-sectional survey from one US medical school. *BMC Med Educ*. 2018;18(1):32.
38. Ramôa CP, Eissenberg T, Sahingur SE. Increasing popularity of waterpipe tobacco smoking and electronic cigarette use: implications for oral healthcare. *J Periodontol Res*. 2017;52(5):813–23.
39. Vemulapalli A, Mandapati SR, Kotha A, Aryal S. Association between vaping and untreated caries: a cross-sectional study of National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2017–2018 data. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2021;152(9):720–9.
40. Huilgol P, Bhatt SP, Billigowda N, Wright NC, Wells JM. Association of e-cigarette use with oral health: a population-based cross-sectional questionnaire study. *J Public Health (Oxf)*. 2019;41(2):354–61.
41. Xie Z, Ossip DJ, Rahman I, Li D. Use of electronic cigarettes and self-reported chronic obstructive pulmonary disease diagnosis in adults. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2020;22(7):1155–61.
42. Xie Z, Li D. Cross-sectional association between lifetime use of electronic cigarettes with or without marijuana and self-reported past 12-month respiratory symptoms as well as lifetime respiratory diseases in US adults. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2020;22(Suppl 1):S70–5.
43. Chen L, Lu X, Yuan J, Luo J, Luo J, Xie Z, Li D. A social media study on the associations of flavored electronic cigarettes with health symptoms: observational study. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020;22(6):e17496.
44. Ralho A, Coelho A, Ribeiro M, Paula A, Amaro I, Sousa J, Marto C, Ferreira M, Carrilho E. Effects of electronic cigarettes on oral cavity: a systematic review. *J Evid Based Dental Practice*. 2019;19(4):101318.
45. Setia S, Pannu P, Gambhir RS, Galhotra V, Ahluwalia P, Sofat A. Correlation of oral hygiene practices, smoking and oral health conditions with self perceived halitosis amongst undergraduate dental students. *J Nat Sci Biol Med*. 2014;5(1):67–72.
46. Halboub E, Dhaifullah E, Yasin R. Determinants of dental health status and dental health behavior among Sana'a University students, Yemen. *J Investig Clin Dent*. 2013;4(4):257–64.
47. Mitchell TB, Shah S, Zink L. The need for nation wide electronic cigarette smoking cessation curricula across the healthcare spectrum. *Am J Pharm Educ*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe8212>.
48. Etter JF. Electronic cigarettes: a survey of users. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10:231.
49. Etter JF, Bullen C. Electronic cigarette: users profile, utilization, satisfaction and perceived efficacy. *Addiction*. 2011;106(11):2017–28.
50. Sutfin EL, McCoy TP, Morrell HE, Hoepfner BB, Wolfson M. Electronic cigarette use by college students. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2013;131(3):214–21.
51. Martell KM, Boyd LD, Giblin-Scanlon LJ, Vineyard J. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices of young adults regarding the impact of electronic cigarette use on oral health. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2020;151(12):903–11.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions



Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH (“Springer Nature”).

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users (“Users”), for small-scale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use (“Terms”). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com